

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USNATO 04626 01 OF 06 092033Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00
ICAE-00 INRE-00 PM-05 INR-10 L-03 ACDA-12 PA-01
SS-15 SP-02 TRSE-00 EB-08 /069 W
-----053671 092121Z /65

O 092008Z MAY 78
FM USMISSION USNATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1401
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO FPA WASHDC
ALL NATO CAPITALS
USDOCOSOUTH NAPLES IT
USLOSACLANT NORFOLK VA
CINCLANT NORFOLK VA
USNMR SHAPE BE
USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 06 USNATO 04626

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: MPOL, NATO
SUBJECT: NATO DEFENSE INITIATIVES: MAY 8 DPC MEETING
ON LTDP REPORT

REFS: (A) USNATO 4613 DTG 091332Z MAY 78, (B) STATE 115666
DTG 060000Z MAY 78, NOTAL, (C) STATE 116282 DTG 061814Z
MAY 78, NOTAL, (D) USNATO 4592 DTG 081744Z MAY 78, NOTAL,
(E) USNATO 4554 DTG 060948Z MAY 78, NOTAL, (F) USNATO 4434
DTG 021826Z MAY 78, NOTAL

SUMMARY: REF A REPORTS THE SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS OF THE MAY
8 DPC MEETING ON THE LTDP. WE REPORT BELOW THE DETAILS OF
THE DPC DISCUSSION OF THE LTDP REPORT ITSELF. A THIRD,
SEPARATE, REPORTING CABLE SUMMARIZES THE ROUND OF OPENING
STATEMENTS. WE WILL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL COMMENT AND RE-
COMMENDATIONS BY SEPTTEL. END SUMMARY.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USNATO 04626 01 OF 06 092033Z

ANNEXES A-J

1. THE DPC PROCEEDED THROUGH THE ANNEXES PAGE BY PAGE
ATTEMPTING TO RESOLVE TEXTUAL DIFFERENCES (BRACKETED
LANGUAGE) AND TO REMOVE RESERVATIONS EXPRESSED BY FOOT-
NOTES. THIS PROCEDURE RESULTED IN THE FOLLOWING CHANGES TO

THE REPORT, DPC/D(78)7(DRAFT), WITH CORRIGENDUM:

- A. ANNEX A (READINESS)

- (1) THE FRG WITHDREW ITS FOOTNOTES ON PARAGRAPH 3, AND CONSEQUENTIALLY ON PARA 14(A)(I), ON ANTI-ARMOR CONSUMPTION RATES.

- (2) KILLICK (UK) SAID HIS AUTHORITIES COULD DROP THE FOOTNOTE ON PARAGRAPH 4 (AND CONSEQUENTIALLY ON PARAGRAPH 14(A)(V)) IF THE DESCRIPTIVE FOOTNOTE FOR THE TERM "COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT" WAS AMENDED TO READ: "COOPERATIVE MAY MEAN ANY APPROPRIATE DIVISION OF EFFORT RANGING FROM JOINT DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMMES TO COORDINATION OF DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMMES. IN SEVERAL CASES CONSIDERATION IS AT AN EARLY STAGE".

THE DPC AGREED TO THE UK AMENDMENT (SUBJECT TO CONFIRMATION BY THE US); AND AGREED FURTHER TO INSERT THE FOOTNOTE IN PARAGRAPH 12 OF THE HEADS OF GOVERNMENT REPORT, WHERE THE TERM "COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT" FIRST APPEARS, AND IN PARAGRAPH 14(A)(V) OF ANNEX A.

- (3) DENMARK WITHDREW ITS PARTICIPATION IN THE FOOTNOTE ON PARAGRAPH 14(B)(II). VIBE (NO) SAID HE WOULD CHECK AGAIN WITH HIS AUTHORITIES.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USNATO 04626 01 OF 06 092033Z

- (4) BOTH FOOTNOTES ON PARAGRAPH 14(D), ON THE STATIONING OF THE DUTCH BRIGADE, REMAIN. THE AMBASSADOR SAID THAT THE RATIONALE FOR THE US TEXT WAS THAT THE PRESENT LANGUAGE WAS FAR TOO WEAK, I.E. IT COMMITTED MINISTERS TO DO NOTHING ABOUT A PROBLEM WHICH HAS BECOME ALL THE MORE CRITICAL BECAUSE OF THE COMPROMISE OF AVAILABLE WARNING TIME ACROSS THE CRISIS SPECTRUM. ZEINER-GUNDERSEN (CMC) AGREED. KILLICK SUPPORTED THE AMBASSADOR AND SUGGESTED COMBINING THE TWO FOOTNOTES. THERE WAS NO AGREEMENT TO KILLICK'S SUGGESTION. BARKMAN (NL) SAID THAT IMPROVING THE REACTION TIME OF FIRST NETHERLANDS CORPS WAS A VERY COMPLEX PROBLEM AND THAT, OBVIOUSLY, IT WOULD NOT BE POSSIBLE TO REACH A DECISION BEFORE THE MINISTERIAL MEETING. HE SUGGESTED THAT, IN ORDER TO REFLECT BETTER THE REALITY OF THE SITUATION, THE PENULTIMATE SENTENCE OF PARAGRAPH 7, ANNEX A, BE AMENDED IN PART TO READ "IS PRESENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION" IN LIEU OF "IS PUT FORWARD FOR MINISTERIAL CONSIDERATION". THE DPC AGREED.

- (5) BOTH FOOTNOTES REMAIN IN PARAGRAPH 14(G), ON THE NATO ALERT SYSTEM. KIRCA (TU) SAID HE WAS HOPEFUL HIS

AUTHORITIES WOULD REMOVE THE TU FOOTNOTE SOON.

- (6) THERE WERE NO CHANGES TO THE APPENDICES.

- B. ANNEX B (REINFORCEMENT) - THERE WERE NO CHANGES TO ANNEX B.

- C. ANNEX C (RESERVE MOBILIZATION) - THE ONLY CHANGE TO THIS ANNEX WAS THAT TURKEY ACCEPTED THE WORDING OF PARAGRAPH 5(A)(V).

- D. ANNEX D (MARITIME POSTURE)

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USNATO 04626 02 OF 06 092041Z

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00

ICAE-00 INRE-00 PM-05 INR-10 L-03 ACDA-12 PA-01

SS-15 SP-02 TRSE-00 EB-08 /069 W

-----053734 092123Z /65

O 092008Z MAY 78

FM USMISSION USNATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1402

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO FPA WASHDC

ALL NATO CAPITALS

USDOCOSOUTH NAPLES IT

USLOSACLANT NORFOLK VA

CINCLANT NORFOLK VA

USNMR SHAPE BE

USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 02 OF 06 USNATO 04626

- (1) THE AMBASSADOR ANNOUNCED THAT, IN THE INTEREST OF ALLIANCE SOLIDARITY, THE US FOOTNOTE ON PARAGRAPH 3 (AND CONSEQUENTIALLY ON PARAGRAPH 20 IN THE HEADS OF GOVERNMENT REPORT) CONCERNING SHORTFALLS IN SHIPS AND MARITIME AIRCRAFT, COULD BE DROPPED.

- (2) SVART (DE) PROPOSED CHANGING PARAGRAPH 12(B)(II) TO READ:

- "(II) THE PROVISION IN THE MID/LONG-TERM OF A LIMITED SELF-DEFENSE CAPABILITY FOR A NUMBER OF SMALLER SHIPS".

THE DPC ACCEPTED THE AMENDMENT, AND SVART DROPPED THE DANISH FOOTNOTE ON THIS PARAGRAPH.

- (3) BECAUSE OF THE PREVIOUSLY AGREED PROCEDURE FOR THE HANDLING OF "COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT", THE UK DROPPED CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USNATO 04626 02 OF 06 092041Z

ITS FOOTNOTES ON PARAGRAPHS 12(C)(III) AND 12(D)(I). TURKEY AND ITALY RETAINED THE REST OF THE FOOTNOTES ON PAGE 4, ANNEX D.

-

- (4) VIBE ANNOUNCED THAT NORWAY COULD DROP FOOTNOTES 1 AND 2, AND WITHDRAW FROM PARTICIPATION IN FOOTNOTE 3.

- (5) CONCERNING PARAGRAPH 12(G) ON COORDINATORS FOR MARITIME POSTURE PROGRAMME, LUNS SAID THIS ISSUE WILL BE COVERED DURING HIS LATER WORK ON FOLLOW-THROUGH MACHINERY, PARTICULARLY BECAUSE CNAD AND OTHER HIGH LEVEL COMMITTEES ARE INVOLVED IN THIS AREA AND IN THE OTHER AREAS FOR WHICH THE US PROPOSES PROGRAM COORDINATORS. THE AMBASSADOR SAID THAT, ALTHOUGH IT HAS BEEN AGREED THAT THE SECRETARY GENERAL, IN COORDINATION WITH PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES, WILL WORK OUT AFTER THE SUMMIT NECESSARY DETAILED CHANGES IN ORGANIZATION, STAFFING AND PROCEDURES TO COORDINATE AND MONITOR THE LTDP, HIS AUTHORITIES BELIEVED THE REPORT ITSELF SHOULD GIVE THE SECRETARY GENERAL STRONG BACKING FOR THIS TASK, AND SHOULD SPECIFICALLY ENDORSE A FEW KEY MEASURES. IN EACH OF THE KEY PROGRAM AREAS WHERE NATO IS PLOUGHING NEW GROUND, WE SHOULD CLEARLY ASSIGN RESPONSIBILITY TO SOMEONE IN NATO, PREFERABLY A MAJOR NATO COMMANDER. THE US BELIEVES THAT THE APPOINTMENT OF ONE DESIGNATED BODY AS COORDINATOR IN SUCH AREAS AS MARITIME POSTURE, AIR DEFENSE, COMMUNICATIONS, COMMAND AND CONTROL, AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE IS ESSENTIAL TO ANY COORDINATED PROGRESS IN THOSE KEY PROGRAMS IN WHICH COOPERATION AMONG ALLIES IS ESSENTIAL. PICKING UP LUNS' POINT ON THE CNAD, THE AMBASSADOR SAID THAT, IF IT WOULD HELP HIS COLLEAGUES TO CONSIDER THIS PROPOSAL MORE FAVORABLY, THE US WOULD GLADLY STIPULATE IN A FOOTNOTE THAT COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS ARE EXCLUDED FROM MAJOR NATO COMMANDERS' AUTHORITY.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USNATO 04626 02 OF 06 092041Z

ITY. KILLICK SAID HE DID NOT NECESSARILY DISAGREE WITH THE US PROPOSAL. HE THOUGHT THAT DESIGNATION OF SOMEONE TO FOLLOW-UP ON THE LTDP WAS PROBABLY INEVITABLE. HOWEVER, IT

SHOULD BE THE SUBJECT OF FURTHER STUDY AND FITS NEATLY INTO THE ALREADY PROGRAMMED SYG STUDY ON FOLLOW-THROUGH MACHINERY. LUNS ASKED KILLICK IF THE UK WISHED TO JOIN THE US AND BELGIUM IN THE FOOTNOTE, AND KILLICK SAID NO. THE CMC SAID THE NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES DISAGREE WITH THE US ON PROGRAM COORDINATORS. IT IS FAR TO EARLY TO DESIGNATE A COORDINATOR FOR PROGRAMS NOT YET APPROVED. IN ADDITION, IT WAS NOT CLEAR TO THE MILITARY AUTHORITIES WHAT ADDITIONAL THINGS THE COORDINATORS WOULD COORDINATE, SINCE THE TERMS OF REFERENCE OF SACEUR AND SACLANT ALREADY PROVIDE THEM THE COORDINATING CAPABILITY WHICH THE US SEEMS TO BE TALKING ABOUT. LUNS ENDED THE DISCUSSION OF PROGRAM COORDINATORS BY SAYING HE WOULD CONSIDER THE ISSUE AGAIN DURING HIS FOLLOW-THROUGH MACHINERY STUDY. THE AMBASSADOR SAID THAT THE US WISHED ITS LANGUAGE TO REMAIN IN THE REPORT SO THAT THE MATTER COULD BE DEALT WITH BY HIGHER AUTHORITY. LUNS AGREED.

- (6) KIRCA PROPOSED INSERTING AFTER THE PARAGRAPH ON THE MARITIME CONCEPT A NEW PARAGRAPH ON LOW COST/NO COST MEASURES, WHICH READS:

- "(G) LOW COST/NO COST MEASURES
- THAT APPROPRIATE ACTION BE TAKEN BY THE NATO

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USNATO 04626 03 OF 06 092047Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00
ICAE-00 INRE-00 PM-05 INR-10 L-03 ACDA-12 PA-01
SS-15 SP-02 TRSE-00 EB-08 /069 W
-----053793 092123Z /65

O 092008Z MAY 78
FM USMISSION USNATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1403
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO FPA WASHDC
ALL NATO CAPITALS
USDOCOSOUTH NAPLES IT
USLOSACLANT NORFOLK VA
CINCLANT NORFOLK VA

USNMR SHAPE BE
USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 03 OF 06 USNATO 04626

BODIES CONCERNED REGARDING LOW COST/NO COST MARITIME
RECOMMENDATIONS WITH A VIEW TO THEIR IMPLEMENTATION."
THE DPC AGREED.

- E. ANNEX E (AIR DEFENSE)

- (1) KIRCA PROPOSED INSERTING AT THE END OF THE
FIRST SENTENCE OF PARA 2: "FOR THE MAJORITY OF COUNTRIES."
THE DPC AGREED. VIBE PROPOSED INSERTING IN THE THIRD
SENTENCE OF PARA 2, AFTER "BASIC PROGRAMME," THE WORDS:
"FOR MOST COUNTRIES." THE DPC DID NOT ACCEPT THE NORWEGIAN
INSERTION; THEREUPON, VIBE AGREED TO A NORWEGIAN FOOTNOTE
INSTEAD.

- (2) THE UK RESERVATION ON PARA 4, ON MODE 4 IFF,
WAS RETAINED.

- (3) PAULS (FRG) PROPOSED REPLACING THE TERM
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USNATO 04626 03 OF 06 092047Z

"MULTIFUNCTIONAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS" WITH
"ECM RESISTANT COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS" IN PARA 6. THE DPC
DID NOT AGREE. PAULS ACCEPTED A FOOTNOTE WHICH WILL
REFLECT THE FRG VIEW THAT THE MIDS TERM WAS TOO ALL-
EMBRACING.

- (4) BELGIUM MAINTAINED ITS RESERVATION ON PARA 7,
ON AIR COMMAND AND CONTROL.

- (5) DENMARK AND NORWAY MODIFIED THEIR POSITIONS
ON THEIR GENERAL FOOTNOTE ON PARA 11(A) TO READ: "AGREE
IN PRINCIPLE."

- (6) THE REMAINING FOOTNOTES ON PAGE 4, ANNEX E
WERE RETAINED. ONE ADDITIONAL FOOTNOTE RESULTED WHEN THE
AMBASSADOR REQUESTED DELETION OF "IN THE CENTRAL REGION"
FROM PARA 11(A)(VI). THE DANE, NORWEGIAN AND ITALIAN
OBJECTED TO THE DELETION, AND LUNS RELEGATED THEIR OBJEC-
TION TO A FOOTNOTE.

- F. ANNEX F (C3)

- (1) ONLY DENMARK AND TURKEY RETAINED THEIR RESER-
VATION ON PARA 3, ON THE 3-5 YEAR TIMEFRAME FOR DEVELOPING
INTEROPERABILITY STANDARDS.

- (2) PAULS ADDED AN FRG FOOTNOTE TO PARA 4, ON FUNDING, AFTER AN UNSUCCESSFUL ATTEMPT TO DELETE "OF ABOUT 3 PERCENT ANNUALLY OVER A LEVEL WHICH IS" FROM LINE 3 OF THE PRESENT TEXT.
 - (3) ALTHOUGH THE UK DROPPED OUT OF THE 2 FOOTNOTES ON PAGE 2, ITALY HAS RETAINED THEM. THIS ALSO CONSEQUENTIALLY AFFECTED PARAS 11(C)(III) AND 22(C)(IV). THE UK
- CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USNATO 04626 03 OF 06 092047Z

DROPPED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT" AGREEMENT OF ANNEX A. CATALANO (IT) SAID ITALY ALREADY HAD NATIONAL PROGRAMS IN THE AREA AND THEREFORE COULD NOT FIT IN A COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

- (4) PAULS PROPOSED A REWRITE FOR PARA 8, ON WAR HQ IMPROVEMENTS, WHICH WAS REJECTED BY THE DPC, BUT WILL APPEAR AS A FOOTNOTE TO PARA 8.
- (5) ONLY DENMARK RETAINED THE FOOTNOTE ON PARA 11(B), ON INTEROPERABILITY STANDARDS.
- (6) EXCEPT FOR THE CONSEQUENTIAL CHANGES NOTED ABOVE, THERE WERE NO OTHER CHANGES TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN ANNEX F.
- G. ANNEX G (ELECTRONIC WARFARE)
 - (1) NORWAY PUT A GENERAL RESERVATION ON ALL THE RECOMMENDED PROGRAMS OF ANNEX G WHICH READS: "SUBJECT TO FURTHER DISCUSSION AS FAR AS NORWAY IS CONCERNED."
 - (2) THE DPC AGREED TO DELETE THE WORD "ALL" IN PARA 9(A)(II) CONCERNING EW SELF-PROTECTION OF "ALL COMBAT TROOPS AND VEHICLES."
 - (3) ALL OTHER RESERVATIONS AND FOOTNOTES WERE RETAINED.
- H. ANNEX H (RATIONALIZATION)
 - (1) THE AMBASSADOR SAID THE US COULD ACCEPT THE UK

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USNATO 04626 04 OF 06 092055Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00
ICAE-00 INRE-00 PM-05 INR-10 L-03 ACDA-12 PA-01
SS-15 SP-02 TRSE-00 EB-08 /069 W
-----053844 092120Z /61

O 092008Z MAY 78
FM USMISSION USNATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1404
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO FPA WASHDC
ALL NATO CAPITALS
USDOCOSOUTH NAPLES IT
USLOSACLANT NORFOLK VA
CINCLANT NORFOLK VA
USNMR SHAPE BE
USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 04 OF 06 USNATO 04626

VERSION OF PARA 6, ON A HIGH LEVEL REVIEW OF ARMAMENTS
PLANS AND ACQUISITION PROGRAMS. PAULS SAID HIS AUTHORITIES
PREFERRED THE TEXT AS WRITTEN. LUNS SAID THE UK FOOTNOTE
WILL BE ANNOTATED TO SAY: "THE US COULD ACCEPT."

- (2) AFTER THE AMBASSADOR ACCEPTED KILLICK'S SUG-
GESTION TO DELETE "MANAGERIAL AND," THE DPC AGREED TO
INSERT THE US TEXT IN PARA 12(A)(III).
- (3) KILLICK SAID, FOR THE RECORD, THE UK UNDER-
STANDS THAT BEFORE AN MNC REQUIREMENT IS ACCEPTED THERE WILL
BE FULL CONSULTATION IN APPROPRIATE FORA AND NOT AUTOMATIC
ACCEPTANCE. WHEREUPON HE DROPPED THE UK FOOTNOTE ON PARA
12(B)(II).
- (4) THE US TEXT FOR PARA 12(B)(IV), ON STRENGTHEN-
ING THE MAS, WAS ACCEPTED. HOWEVER, ALTHOUGH STRONGLY
SUPPORTED BY THE FRG AND THE CMC, BELGIUM, ITALY AND THE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USNATO 04626 04 OF 06 092055Z

NETHERLANDS RESERVED. THE RESERVATION WILL BE REFLECTED IN
A NEW FOOTNOTE.

- (5) THE AMBASSADOR SAID THE US REGARDS IT AS A
GREAT PITY THAT THE ONLY MAJOR STEP FORWARD IN ALL OF ANNEX
H -- NATO PRINCIPLES GOVERNING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
RIGHTS -- IS NOW LOST. IN VIEW OF THE SITUATION, THE US
SEES NO RECOURSE BUT TO ACCEPT PARA 12(C). HOWEVER, THE
US WOULD LIKE TO REVISE PARA 12(C)(II) AS FOLLOWS:

- "(II) THAT THE CONFERENCE OF NATIONAL ARMAMENTS DIRECTORS SHOULD RESOLVE ANY REMAINING ISSUES SOONEST AND REQUEST THE RESULTS TO MINISTERS BY DECEMBER 1978".

- J. ANNEX J (CONSUMER LOGISTICS)

- (1) THE US FOOTNOTE ON PARAGRAPH 4, AND THE CONSEQUENTIAL FOOTNOTE ON PARAGRAPH 12(C), ON A LOGISTICS COMMAND UNDER AFCENT WERE RETAINED. COMMENT: IN VIEW OF POSSIBLE FRG SUPPORT FOR THE NOTION OF A LOGISTICS COMMAND, AND SINCE PERMREPS WOULD NOT HAVE ANY INSTRUCTIONS ON A COMPROMISE TEXT, WE DECIDED NOT TO TRY FOR A COMPROMISE. WE WILL COMMENT FURTHER BY SEPTTEL. END COMMENT.

- (2) BELGIUM, ENCOURAGED BY LUNS, MAINTAINED ITS FOOTNOTE ON PARAGRAPH 5, ON DELETING REFERENCE TO STAFF SUPPORT AT NATO HEADQUARTERS.

- (3) AFTER PAULS INDICATED THE FRG VIEW THAT MORE STUDY WAS REQUIRED BEFORE A PROGRAM FOR COMMAND CONTROL STOCKS OF SELECTED AMMUNITION COULD BE DEVELOPED (PARAGRAPH 7), THE AMBASSADOR SAID THAT HIS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USNATO 04626 04 OF 06 092055Z

AUTHORITIES CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A NATO COMMAND CONTROLLED STOCK AND BELIEVE THAT THE NEED FOR THESE STOCKS HAS ALREADY BEEN ESTABLISHED. THE DETAILS MAY NEED FURTHER DEFINITION, BUT THE REQUIREMENT SHOULD BE CLEAR TO ALL WHO HAVE READ THE TASK FORCE REPORT. BOTH BELGIUM AND THE FRG ASKED TO MAINTAIN THEIR FOOTNOTE.

- (4) THE FRG PROPOSED CHANGING THE LAST TWO WORDS OF THE FIRST SENTENCE OF PARAGRAPH 9, ON REALLOCATION OF AMMUNITION STOCKS, FROM "EMERGENCY REQUIREMENTS" TO "IN WAR". THE DPC DID NOT AGREE. PAULS ACCEPTED A FOOTNOTE.

- (5) THE AMBASSADOR SAID THAT HIS AUTHORITIES CONTINUE TO FAVOR THE EXPLICIT RECOGNITION OF NATO'S NEW FOCUS ON CONSUMER LOGISTICS BY THE CREATION OF A POST OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY GENERAL FOR LOGISTICS AND THE OTHER MATTERS IN NATO HEADQUARTERS. KILLICK SAID HIS AUTHORITIES COULD NOT ACCEPT THE US PROPOSAL. NONE SUPPORTED THE US, AND LUNS SAID THE US LANGUAGE WOULD REMAIN IN A FOOTNOTE.

-

- (6) TURKEY, ITALY AND DENMARK MAINTAINED

THEIR RESPECTIVE FOOTNOTES ON PAGE 4, ANNEX J.

- (7) ON PARAGRAPH 12(E), ON COMMAND CONTROLLED STOCKS, LUNS ASKED IF THE US WISHED TO MAINTAIN ITS BRACKETED TEXT. THE AMBASSADOR SAID YES.
- (8) PAULS PROPOSED CHANGING PARAGRAPH 12(F), ON STORAGE FACILITIES, BY MOVING THE PHRASE "SUBJECT

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USNATO 04626 05 OF 06 092103Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00
ICAE-00 INRE-00 PM-05 INR-10 L-03 ACDA-12 PA-01
SS-15 SP-02 TRSE-00 EB-08 /069 W
-----053924 092118Z /65

O 092008Z MAY 78
FM USMISSION USNATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1405
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO FPA WASHDC
ALL NATO CAPITALS
USDOCOSOUTH NAPLES IT
USLOSACLANT NORFOLK VA
CINCLANT NORFOLK VA
USNMR SHAPE BE
USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 05 OF 06 USNATO 04626

TO FURTHER INVESTIGATION" TO THE END OF LINE 3 AFTER "INCLUDE". THE AMBASSADOR SAID THAT HE WAS PREPARED TO AGREE WITH THE ORIGINAL TEXT AND THEREFORE DROP THE US FOOTNOTE. HOWEVER, HE SAID THE NEW FRG AMENDMENT CHANGED THE MEANING OF THE RECOMMENDATION SIGNIFICANTLY. HE SUGGESTED THAT THE US FOOTNOTE BE DROPPED AND THE FRG TAKE A NEW FOOTNOTE. THE DPC AGREED.

- (9) BELGIUM AND THE FRG MAINTAINED THEIR RESERVATION ON PARAGRAPH 12(G), ON DISCHARGE OF BULK CARGO.
- (10) DENMARK AND NORWAY WITHDREW THEIR PARTICIPATION IN THE FOOTNOTE ON PARAGRAPH 12(J), ON EAR-

MARKING OF STOCKS. BELGIUM AND THE FRG HAVE A
PREFERENCE FOR "AGREE THAT A STUDY SHOULD BE MADE..."
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USNATO 04626 05 OF 06 092103Z

- (11) THE FRG JOINED THE US IN SUPPORT OF THE
LANGUAGE IN THE SECOND SET OF BRACKETS IN PARAGRAPH
12(L). AS A RESULT, THE NETHERLANDS DROPPED ITS
FOOTNOTE.
REPORT TO HEADS OF STATE AND GOVERNMENT

2. OUTCOME OF DISCUSSION OF THE REPORT TO THE SUMMIT
FOLLOWS:

- A. PARA 5 BIS - RELATIONSHIP WITH EAST-WEST STUDY.
REF A REPORTS DISCUSSION OF THIS PARAGRAPH. THE UPSHOT
IS THAT IN THE REPORT TO DEFENSE MINISTERS, PARA 5 BIS
OF DPC/D(78)7(DRAFT) DISAPPEARS AND THE TEXT IN PARA
4, REF A WILL APPEAR IN BRACKETS AS PARAGRAPH 3,
ASCRIBED TO CANADA, THE UK, AND DENMARK. FURTHER, THE
REPORT WILL CONTAIN IN BRACKETS SOMEWHERE EARLY ON,
IN A SECTION LABELED "POLITICAL CONSIDERATIONS",
PARAGRAPH 8 OF THE CANADIAN COUNTER PROPOSAL (REF F),
WITH THE TEXT IDENTIFIED AS CANADIAN.

- B. PARA 7 - RESOURCE ALLOCATION. THE DPC
AGREED TO TWO CHANGES PROPOSED BY KIRCA: (A) IN
LINE 5, DELETE "MAY" AND SUBSTITUTE THEREFOR "ARE
EXPECTED TO", AND (B) CHANGE THE LAST THREE LINES TO
READ: "...DEFENCE PROGRAMME, FOR SOME COUNTRIES ITS
IMPLEMENTATION TO A SATISFACTORY DEGREE WILL DEPEND
ON EXTERNAL ASSISTANCE, AS WELL AS ON THE REMOVAL OF
EXISTING RESTRICTIONS ON ARMAMENTS PROCUREMENT".
COMMENT: KIRCA PREFACED INTRODUCTION OF THOSE CHANGES
WITH A LENGTHY STATEMENT, ON INSTRUCTIONS FROM ANKARA,
THAT TURKEY'S STATUS AS A MEMBER OF NATO, RATHER THAN
AS NEUTRAL, NON-ALIGNED, OR THIRD WORLD, SHOULD NOT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USNATO 04626 05 OF 06 092103Z

WORK TO ITS DISADVANTAGE WHEN ITS ALLIES ARE CONSIDERING
AID TO DEVELOPING NATIONS. KIRCA POINTED OUT THAT
TURKEY WAS ALSO A DEVELOPING NATION. HE CITED TURKEY'S
CONTRIBUTION TO THE ALLIANCE AND SAID THAT ITS ALLIES
SHOULD RE-THINK ARTICLE 2 OF THE NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY
IN TERMS OF PROVIDING TURKEY ADEQUATE MEANS TO SUPPORT
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. CONSEQUENTLY, TURKEY'S DEFENSE

MINISTER WOULD PROBABLY WANT AN APPROPRIATE PARAGRAPH INCLUDED, EITHER IN THE TEXT OF THE REPORT TO THE SUMMIT OR IN THE WASHINGTON COMMUNIQUE, TO REFLECT THE CONCERNS JUST ELABORATED.

LUNS COMMENTED THAT HE HAD MADE A SPEECH WITH A SIMILAR THEME IN LISBON AND THAT HE WOULD PUT KIRCA'S STATEMENT IN THE RECORD OF THE DAY'S MEETING. SINCE THE CHANGES KIRCA PROPOSED PERTAINED TO SENTENCES CLEARLY AIMED AT DESCRIBING THE TURKISH AND PORTUGUESE SITUATIONS, NONE OBJECTED TO HIS SUGGESTIONS. END COMMENT.

- C. PARA 12. AS REPORTED ABOVE IN THE DISCUSSION OF ANNEX A, THE DPC AGREED (SUBJECT TO CONFIRMATION BY THE US) TO THE UK SUGGESTED DESCRIPTIVE LANGUAGE FOR THE TERM "COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT". AS A CONSEQUENCE, THERE WILL BE AN EXPLANATORY FOOTNOTE FOR PARA 12 WHICH WILL READ: (1) "HERE AS THROUGHOUT THE ANNEXES, "COOPERATIVE" MAY MEAN ANY APPROPRIATE DIVISION OF EFFORT RANGING FROM JOINT DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMMES TO CO-ORDINATION OF DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMMES. IN SEVERAL CASES CONSIDERATION IS AT AN EARLY STAGE." THE UK DROPPED ITS FOOTNOTE.

- D. PARA 13. FOOTNOTE (1) REMAINS, SINCE THE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USNATO 04626 06 OF 06 092109Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00
ICAE-00 INRE-00 PM-05 INR-10 L-03 ACDA-12 PA-01
SS-15 SP-02 TRSE-00 EB-08 /069 W
-----053941 092124Z /61

O 092008Z MAY 78
FM USMISSION USNATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1406
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO FPA WASHDC
ALL NATO CAPITALS
USDOCOSOUTH NAPLES IT
USLOSACLANT NORFOLK VA
CINCLANT NORFOLK VA
USNMR SHAPE BE
USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN GER

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 06 OF 06 USNATO 04626

ITALIAN AND TURKS HAVE KEPT THEIR RESERVATIONS ON
PARA 14(G), ANNEX A.

- E. PARA 19. DENMARK AND ITALY RETAINED THEIR
FOOTNOTE, SINCE THEY STILL HAVE A FOOTNOTE ON PARA-
GRAPH 5(B) ANNEX C.

- F. PARAS 19 BIS, 21 BIS, 25 BIS, AND 33 BIS.
BARKMAN WITHDREW THE DUTCH RESERVATION ON THOSE PARA-
GRAPHS; HOWEVER, THE TURKS JOINED THE FRG AND ITALY
IN THEIR FOOTNOTE TO 19 BIS, AND ITALY KEPT ITS
RESERVATION ON 25 BIS.

- G. PARA 20. THE AMBASSADOR WITHDREW THE US
RESERVATION.

- H. PARA 27. THE DPC AGREED TO PAULS' SUGGESTION
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USNATO 04626 06 OF 06 092109Z

TO DELETE THE WORD "SIX" IN LINE 3.

- I. PARA 33 BIS. THE UK DROPPED FOOTNOTE (2)
AND NO PERMREP INDICATED HE WANTED TO RETAIN FOOTNOTE
(3), SO THIS PARAGRAPH NOW HAS NO BRACKETS OR FOOT-
NOTES.

-

- J. PARA 36. LUNS SUGGESTED THAT THE DPC AGREE
TO TURKEY'S SUGGESTION TO CHANGE "WILL" TO "WOULD" IN
LINE 8; NO ONE DISAGREED.

- K. PARA 37. PAULS SUGGESTED THE SUBSTITUTION OF
"SHOULD" FOR "WILL" IN LINE 4. THE AMBASSADOR ARGUED
THAT SINCE THE PARAGRAPH DESCRIBED PROGRAMS ALREADY
APPROVED, "WILL" WAS THE BETTER WORD. HE GOT NO
SUPPORT HOWEVER, SO LUNS ACCEPTED THE FRG'S SUGGESTION.

- L. PARA 39. LUNS POINTED OUT THAT DPC/D(78)11
CONTAINED THE NPG SUBMISSION FOR THIS PARAGRAPH
(TEXT AS IN REF E.) KILLICK EXPRESSED THE UK'S
DISAPPOINTMENT AT THE ABSENCE OF LANGUAGE ON THE
NEED FOR MORE IN-THEATER LONG-RANGE SYSTEMS, BUT
ACCEPTED THE TEXT AD REFERENDUM. AFTER THE MEETING,
WE INFORMED THE IS THAT THE US COULD AGREE TO THE
TEXT. LATER WE LEARNED THAT THE REPORT WILL CARRY
THE TEXT WITHOUT BRACKETS OR FOOTNOTES.

- M. PARA 40. THE DPC AGREED TO ZEINER GUNDERSEN'S
PROPOSAL TO CHANGE LINES 17-1S TO READ "WITH THE

PARTICIPATION OF THE NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES."
LUNS APPEALED FOR AGREEMENT TO THE WORDING OF THE
PARAGRAPH, AS AMENDED, SO AS NOT TO PRE-JUDGE THE
RESULTS OF THE STUDY HE WOULD BE UNDERTAKING. HE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USNATO 04626 06 OF 06 092109Z

ALSO REMINDED THE DPC THAT FRENCH VIEWS WOULD HAVE
TO BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN ANY WORK A QUNIZE AND
IN ANY STUDY OF IMPLICATIONS FOR THE IS. THE
AMBASSADOR DEFENDED THE US FOOTNOTE, WHICH HE
MAINTAINED IN THE ABSENCE OF ANY SUPPORT.

- N. PARA 41 - RECOMMENDATIONS. AS WE REPORTED
REF A, THE TURKS NOW HAVE A FOOTNOTE BEHIND THE PHRASE
"HEADS TO STATE AND GOVERNMENT ARE INVITED TO:". KIRCA
SAID HE HOPED HIS MINISTER WOULD BE ABLE TO LIFT THIS
FOOTNOTE AT THE DPC MEETING. NEW PARAGRAPH 41(A),
IN BRACKETS, WILL BE THE WORDING FROM PARA 13A OF THE
CANADIAN COUNTER PROPOSAL, WITH THE TEXT ASCRIBED TO
CANADA. RE OLD PARA 41A, THE UK, FRG, BELGIUM, AND
THE NETHERLANDS JOINED THE US IN SUPPORTING THE SHORTER
FORMULATION, SO THE TEXT WILL READ "ENDORSE THE LONG-
TERM DEFENCE PROGRAMME AS A WHOLE," ETC., WITH A
FOOTNOTE INDICATING THE TEXT IS AD REFERENDUM BY A
NUMBER OF COUNTRIES. RE PARA 41E, LUNS INDICATED HE
FAVORED RETENTION OF THE WORD "POSSIBLE", BUT THE
AMBASSADOR AND BARKMAN RETAINED FOOTNOTE (1). BENNETT

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ALLIANCE, TEXT, MEETING REPORTS, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, MILITARY PLANS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 09 may 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978USNATO004626
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780196-1155
Format: TEL
From: USNATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780576/aaaacnfp.tel
Line Count: 741
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: d9fdb0a1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 14
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 78 USNATO 4613, 78 STATE 115666
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 31 may 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2742917
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NATO DEFENSE INITIATIVES: MAY 8 DPC MEETING ON LTDP REPORT
TAGS: MPOL, NATO
To: STATE DOD
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/d9fdb0a1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014